

NOVEMBER 2006

inside

Measuring Worker Productivity: Comparing Indiana to its Neighbors		
Are the Well-Educated Coming to Indiana?	4	
Monthly Metrics: Indiana's Economic Indicators	7	
Regional Labor Force and Unemployment Rates	8	
Regional Perspective: Economic Growth Region 11	9	
Baltic States Part II: Latvia	12	

September Unemployment

Indiana's September unemployment rate dropped to 4.7 percent from 5 percent the same time last year. This compares to the national rate of 4.4 percent in 2006.



Fastest Growing Occupations with a Degree

Of those occupations requiring a bachelor's degree or higher, the following occupations are projected to be the fastest growing from 2004 to 2014 in Indiana. For more on this topic, see the article "Are the Well-Educated Coming to Indiana?" on page 4.

Occupation	Percent Change	Numeric Growth	2005 Median Wage				
Network Systems and Data Communications Analysts	55%	1,090	\$56,212				
Computer Software Engineers, Systems Software	45%	1,180	\$64,356				
Computer Software Engineers, Applications	45%	1,750	\$65,549				
Biomedical Engineers	44%	170	\$67,605				
Physician Assistants	43%	300	\$66,111				
Database Administrators	37%	600	\$50,345				
Gaming Managers	37%	40	\$65,196				
Network and Computer Systems Administrators	34%	1,490	\$50,170				
Actuaries	33%	130	\$73,475				
Occupational Therapists	33%	670	\$56,080				
Sources IRDC, using U.S. Concus Bureau data							

Source: IBRC, using U.S. Census Bureau da

Measuring Worker Productivity: Comparing Indiana to its Neighbors

ow does Indiana's manufacturing productivity compare to other states? To answer this question, the Advanced Economic and Market Analysis section of Indiana's Department of Workforce Development (AEMA) used the U.S. Census Bureau's 1997 and 2002 Economic Censuses, extracting the following data from the manufacturing reports: average number of employees (production and nonproduction combined), annual payroll, average number of production workers, production worker hours, production worker wages, value added, total cost

of materials, total value of shipments and total capital expenditures (see **Table 1**). While the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) defines labor productivity as output per hour of all employed, that productivity index is based on aggregate national measures and data sources that do not allow BLS to construct state measures.

Key Findings

Five productivity measures (see Methodology) were created from the most recent economic census data (2002), and all five were compared to the previous economic census (1997) to

TABLE 1: WORKER PRODUCTIVITY IN THE MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY, 2002

	United States	Indiana	Illinois	Michigan	Ohio	Kentucky
Number of Employees	14,699,536	565,559	741,908	736,259	868,732	263,202
Annual Payroll (in Thousands)	\$576,170,541	\$22,852,682	\$29,841,718	\$33,171,232	\$35,301,070	\$10,077,029
Production Workers Average per Year	10,343,449	426,331	511,269	549,621	639,821	201,586
Production Workers Hours (in Thousands)	20,453,699	854,927	1,027,433	1,087,100	1,272,072	403,166
Production Workers Wages (in Thousands)	\$336,967,174	\$15,437,870	\$17,002,492	\$22,571,995	\$23,198,051	\$6,853,829
Value Added (in Thousands)	\$1,887,792,650	\$78,023,817	\$91,825,126	\$97,575,395	\$113,243,351	\$34,075,367
Total Cost of Materials (in Thousands)	\$2,025,061,815	\$82,850,352	\$96,051,575	\$124,086,616	\$130,639,775	\$54,416,995
Total Value of Shipments (in Thousands)	\$3,916,136,712	\$160,924,188	\$188,365,216	\$221,433,262	\$243,903,865	\$88,513,497
Total Capital Expenditures (in Thousands)	\$125,536,189	\$5,617,894	\$5,960,080	\$5,699,658	\$7,427,634	\$2,562,414

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

A State & University Partnership for Economic Development Indiana Department of Workforce Development ■ Indiana Business Research Center, IU Kelley School of Business



measure the improvement or decline in productivity. For each of the measures, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, Illinois and Kentucky were ranked amongst each other for 2002 (see Figure 1). Indiana ranked first in four of the five measures-the exception being "value added per production wage dollar." Indiana ranked second on this measure behind Illinois. Each state was ranked according to its percent change in value added from 1997 to 2002. Indiana came out on top in all percentage increases of value-added measures (see Figure 2).

Analysis

Despite job losses in manufacturing between 1997 and 2002, each state examined here (except Kentucky) has experienced increases in worker

FIGURE 2: PERCENT CHANGE IN PRODUCTIVITY, 1997 TO 2002



Source: U.S. Census Bureau

FIGURE 1: VALUE ADDED FOR EACH PRODUCTIVITY MEASURE, 2002









Value Added per Production Hour



Source: U.S. Census Bureau

productivity over this five-year period. As shown in Figure 2, Indiana exhibits the greatest increases when compared to the nation and our four neighboring states. Most striking is the percent change for value added per production worker and per production hour. These productivity measures increased by 30 percent and 33 percent, respectively. This confirms that manufacturing is experiencing more output with less employment. These increases are due in no small part to increases in technology and skilled labor. Before we interpret this as bad news (for the worker who has lost his or her job), we have to recognize that higher skilled manufacturing jobs come with increased wages. In fact, hourly wage rates for production workers have increased by \$1.25 on average since 1997. This data is not only promising for Indiana and the region, but it brings optimism to the manufacturing sector as a whole. Job losses are beginning to diminish (in fact, Indiana projects increases in manufacturing employment over the next

employment over the decade), providing strong evidence that the manufacturing sector will continue to be a major economic driver for Indiana and the nation. The policy implications of this data once again support the

notion that economic and workforce development initiatives will do well to focus on helping workers gain the skills they need to work more productively in an advancing manufacturing field. Indiana's Strategic Skills Initiative (SSI), which is working to address Indiana's critical job and skill shortages, is well timed. For more information on how Indiana's SSI and Training Acceleration Grants are committed to expanding the skills of Indiana's existing workforce and to increasing opportunities and wages for Hoosier workers, please visit www.in.gov/dwd.

Methodology

The Economic Census measures industry output with two calculations: value of shipments and value added. The value of shipments item covers the net selling values of all products shipped, as well as receipts for work contracted or performed for others. A multi-unit company that ships products, materials or contracts work between plants is requested to report the value of all products transferred. Due to this reporting duplication and the possible inaccuracies involved, the value-added

measurement is considered to be the best value measure available for comparing the relative economic importance of manufacturing among industries and geographic

areas. "The valueadded measure of manufacturing activity is derived by subtracting the cost of materials, supplies,

containers, fuel, purchased

electricity, and contract work from the value of shipments (products manufactured plus receipts for services rendered)."¹ For the purposes of this analysis, the value-added figures, therefore, appeared the best choice in **66**For more information on how Indiana's SSI and Training Acceleration Grants are committed to expanding the skills of Indiana's existing workforce and to increasing opportunities and wages for Hoosier workers, please visit www.in.gov/dwd.**9**

comparing Indiana's manufacturing worker productivity to our neighboring states and the nation as a whole.

The five productivity measures (all expressed in dollar values) used were:

- 1. Value added per employee (all employees)
- 2. Value added per production worker
- 3. Value added per production hour (hours worked by production workers)
- 4. Value added per production wage dollar (wages paid to production workers)
- 5. Value added per payroll wage dollar (wages paid to all employees)

As an example: for every dollar paid to an Indiana production worker in wages, \$3.41 of value added is generated. The third measure (value added per production hour) most closely compares to the BLS definition of labor productivity at the national level.

Notes

1. Economic Census Report for Indiana Manufacturing, 2002, Appendix A, 246–247.

-Allison Leeuw and Jon Wright, Research and Analysis Department, Advanced Economic and Market Analysis Group, Indiana Department of Workforce Development

66We

have to recognize that higher skilled manufacturing jobs come with increased wages. In fact, hourly wage rates for producation workers have increased by \$1.25 on average since 1997.**99**